

Human: Open Integrity¹

The dynamics of social life transformation involving digitalization and biotechnological advancement can be conceptualized as an anthropological transition that reveals contradictions in socio-demographic trends raising ethical and psychoanalytic issues of assisted reproduction as well as its cultural and anthropological consequences and questioning legal and regulatory aspects of modern procreation methods. This sophisticated problem complex brings the term procreation to the level of a philosophical concept. The factor of focusing comprehension is subjectivity, the unique consciousness of the individual's self as a source, means and result of ongoing and future transformations of human images in the metapostmetaphysical space of thought activity.

The inter- and trans-disciplinary nature of the problematic situation stimulates the search for a conceptual framework for integration and combinatorics of analytical practices, which actualizes the updated rhetoric of beliefs, personal responsibility to oneself, to the scientific community and to the society as a whole. This raises a question about the principles of the renewed ethos of discursive practices in the situational analysis of specific cases that keep the connection with the normogenesis of value priorities in the formation of the procreative human integrity. Communicative nature of discursive practices is realized in the exchange area of meanings, words and deeds. This is a conceptual terminological resource that has a nonadditive, synergistic effect, which provides the necessary "redundancy" of meaning formation, that is not always grasped in unambiguous disciplinary terms, thus affecting the variety of human integrity configurations in the procreation process.

The exchange zone is formed nonlinearly, has a spontaneous and situational nature of the order emergence from the chaos of heterogeneous, sometimes conflicting judgments, emphasizing the complex nature of the socio-humanitarian expertise of procreation in its expanded meaning. The accentuation on retro-preadaptation and foresight predictability can act as a clarifying language for procreation as a parametric model of discursive practices, which brings the processes under consideration to the level of sociocultural engineering and to the introduction of new concepts into scientific and practical use (the phenomenon of linguistic creativity). The latter makes it possible to dynamically merge the fundamental and applied methods of researching procreation, taking into account the comparison of the language of scientific and everyday discourse in the transdisciplinary perspective of practical philosophy.

¹ This Roundtable is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project No. 20-011-00609 "Procreation: fundamental and applied aspects of sociocultural norms - the language of interdisciplinary discourse".